

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSI ONEICOF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,996	1	0/04/2000	Bernard Illy	33339/204662	4906
826	7590	11/20/2002			
ALSTON &	k BIRD L	.LP	EXAMINER		
	TRYON S	STREET, SUITE 4	WONG, LESLIE A		
CHARLOTT	E, NC 28	8280-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	9
				DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

09/678,996

Applicant(s)

.,,,,,

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

Illy et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover	sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period	for Reply							
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	three	_ MONTH(S) FROM				
- Exten	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.	i no event, howeve	r, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX [6] MONTHS from the				
If the If NO Failure	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX he application to be	(6) MONTHS (6) COMB ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 3, 20	002		•				
2a) X	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispos	ition of Claims							
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>			is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		·-	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s)							
	Claim(s) 1-19							
7) .								
8)								
Applica	ation Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗔 accer	oted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply							
12):								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13).	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) .	☐ All b}☐ Some* c}☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been recei	ived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been recei	ived in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	documents ha	ave been re e 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certified co	opies not r	eceived.				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority und	er 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).				
a) :	The translation of the foreign language provision							
15) -	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority und	er 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachn	nent(s)							
1) , N	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)			O-413) Paper No(s)				
2) N	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Informal Pater	nt Application (PTO-152)				
3) Ir	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant does not teach "non-frozen".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson and Burt in view of Winton for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action (Paper No. 6). The amendments to the claims and the new claim are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Anderson discloses the molding of a dairy product where a mixture is cast, cooled, and reheated to unmold the product (see entire document, especially the abstract).

Burt discloses the production of a frozen dairy product where a mixture is cast, cooled, reheated, unmolded, dipped, and packaged (see entire patent).

The claims differ as to the composition of the dairy product.

Winton discloses the conventional composition of cheese and ice cream where these amounts are the same as that claimed by Applicant (see pages 178-180 and 207).

Application/Control Number: 09/678996 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time in the invention was made, to use the composition percents of Winton in that of either Anderson or Burt as the composition of dairy products is conventional and well-known in the art.

Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach casting a melt or the claimed composition.

Anderson and Burt clearly teach casting and it is not seen how this differs from the claimed invention. Applicant's claims are not specific for cheese.

Winton clearly teaches the conventional composition of cheese and ice cream where these amounts are the same as that claimed by Applicant.

As claimed, the claimed invention does not define over that of the prior art.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how the claimed invention differs from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/678996

Art Unit: 1761

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW November 15, 2002